SPECIAL MASTER ORDER No. SM-2 - 1

Document 42-1

Filed 06/17/25 Page 1 of 3 Page ID

dase 8:24-cv-01974-DOC-DFM

If one of both parties desire a Reporter, the parties shall confer and select a Reporter, and the cost of reporting and of ordering transcripts shall be apportioned equally between Plaintiff(s) (one half) and Defendant(s) (one half).

The parties shall provide the Special Master and the Reporter (if any) with a list of expected participants **no later than one hour prior to the start of the hearing**. This list shall be submitted by e-mail and shall indicate each name together with e-mail address and status as counsel, client representative, or other relevant affiliation.

Pursuant to Local Rule 83-6, audio or video recording of the status conference (other than by a Reporter, if present, for the purpose of generating a transcript) is hereby prohibited.

Based on e-mail correspondence with counsel for both sides, the Special Master anticipates that this discovery status conference will likely involve discussion of confidential business information and also will be of minimal interest to the public, particularly when considering that the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has observed that the public has a lesser interest in non-dispositive discovery matters; the Special Master therefore *sua sponte* finds good cause for this status conference to be closed to the public. *See, e.g., Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179–80 (9th Cir. 2006) (discussing *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir. 2003)). The Special Master has separately provided videoconference information to the parties.

Cá	ase 8:24-cv-01974-DOC-DFM Document 42-1 Filed 06/17/25 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:342
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	
4	Dated:
5	Special Master
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

SPECIAL MASTER ORDER No. SM-2 - 3